UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4

5

6

1

2

3

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION

7

8

9

Jane Doe LS 90 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 03956-CRB

10

Jane Doe LS 250 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 03995-CRB

11 12

Jane Doe LS 383 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 05197-CRB

13

Jane Doe LS 107 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 05232-CRB

14

Jane Doe LS 353 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 05401-CRB

1516

Jane Doe LS 285 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:23-cv- 05919-CRB

17

Jane Doe LS 330 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05160-CRB

18 19

Jane Doe LS 419 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05263-CRB

20

Jane Doe LS 412 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05317-CRB

2122

Jane Doe LS 492 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05324-CRB

23

Jane Doe LS 338 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05326-CRB

24

25

Jane Doe LS 252 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05334-CRB

26

27

Jane Doe LS 225 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05336-CRB

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

Honorable Judge Charles R. Breyer
[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: LEVIN
SIMES PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA,
LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS LEVIN
SIMES CASES FOR FAILURE TO
COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDERS

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

Date: July 11, 2025 Time: 10:00 a.m.

Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

28

1	Jane Doe LS 131 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05337-CRB
2 3	Jane Doe LS 128 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05377-CRB
4	Jane Doe LS 281 v. Uber Technologies,
5	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05430-CRB
6	Jane Doe LS 507 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05509-CRB
7	Jane Doe LS 500 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05513-CRB
8	Jane Doe LS 101 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05521-CRB
10	Jane Doe LS 506 v. Uber Technologies,
11	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05531-CRB
12	Jane Doe LS 473 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05544-CRB
13	Jane Doe LS 47 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05571-CRB
14	
15	Jane Doe LS 482 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05593-CRB
16	Jane Doe LS 476 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05646-CRB
17	Jane Doe LS 467 v. Uber Technologies,
18	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05652-CRB
19	Jane Doe LS 509 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05662-CRB
20	
21	Jane Doe LS 449 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05689-CRB
22	Jane Doe LS 435 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05755-CRB
23	Jane Doe LS 452 v. Uber Technologies,
24	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05756-CRB
25	Jane Doe LS 422 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05758-CRB
26	Jane Doe LS 519 v. Uber Technologies,
27	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05759-CRB
•	

28

1	Jane Doe LS 429 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05797-CRB
2	Jane Doe LS 434 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05814-CRB
3 4	Jane Doe LS 417 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05821-CRB
5	Jane Doe LS 469 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05874-CRB
6 7	Jane Doe LS 289 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05883-CRB
8	Jane Doe LS 366 v. Uber Technologies,
9	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05886-CRB
10	Jane Doe LS 355 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05887-CRB
11 12	Jane Doe LS 300 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05900-CRB
13	Jane Doe LS 267 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05901-CRB
14	Jane Doe LS 173 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05907-CRB
15 16	Jane Doe LS 169 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05918-CRB
17	Jane Doe LS 324 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05920-CRB
18	
19	Jane Doe LS 124 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05930-CRB
20	Jane Doe LS 132 v. Uber Technologies,
21	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05934-CRB
22	Jane Doe LS 45 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05935-CRB
23	Jane Doe LS 243 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05939-CRB
24	Jane Doe LS 192 v. Uber Technologies,
25	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05975-CRB
26	Jane Doe LS 5 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv-05976-CRB
27	Jane Doe LS 288 v. Uber Technologies,
20	

28

1	Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05987-CRB
2	Jane Doe LS 160 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 05996-CRB
3	Jane Doe LS 327 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No. 3:24-cv- 06032-CRB
4	
5	[PROPOSED] ORDER
6	Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Levin Simes Cases for Failure to Comply with
7	Discovery Orders, the Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:
8	1. Each Plaintiff subject to Defendants' Motion must provide a complete and verified
9	Plaintiff Fact Sheet within 14 days of this Order.
10	2. 2. Uber shall submit a declaration within 21 days of this Order, identifying which, if any,
11	Plaintiffs have not complied with the Court's Order as of that date.
12	3. 3. If Levin Simes LLP ("Levin Simes") disagrees with the inclusion of any Plaintiff(s)
13	identified in Uber's declaration, Levin Simes shall submit a declaration 28 days of this
14	Order, identifying the date when such Plaintiff(s) submitted a complete and verified
15	Plaintiff Fact Sheet. 4. The Court will dismiss without prejudice the claims of any Plaintiff
16	who does not comply with Paragraph 1 of this Order.
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	
19	DATED:, 2025HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
20	United States District Court Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	4
28	CASE NO. 3:23-MD-03084-CRB STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF LEVIN SIMES LLP PLAINTIFFS

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB Document 3119-2 Filed 05/30/25 Page 4 of 4